arXiv:1608.02055v2 [math.CO] 2 Oct 2016

The generalized 3-(edge) connectivity of total graphs *

Yinkui Li[1,2]
[1]Center for Applied Mathematics Tianjin University
Tianjin 300071, P.R. China
[2]College of mathematics and statistics, Qinghai Nationalities University,
Xining, Qinghai 810000, P.R. China

E-mails:lyk463@163.com

Abstract

The generalized k-connectivity $\kappa_k(G)$ of a graph G, introduced by Hager in 1985, is a natural generalization of the concept of connectivity $\kappa(G)$, which is just for $k = 2$. Total graph is generalized line graph and a large graph which obtained by incidence relation between vertices and edges of original graph. T. Hamada and T. Nonaka et al., in [32] determined the connectivity of the total graph $T(G)$ for a graph G. In this paper, we determine the generalized 3-(edge)-connectivity of some total graphs and give the bounds on the generalized 3-(edge)-connectivity for total graph.

Keywords: generalized connectivity, generalized edge connectivity, total graph.

AMS subject classification 2010: 05C05, 05C40, 05C70, 05C75.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are undirected, finite and simple. We refer to the book [1] for graph theoretical notation and terminology not described here. For a graph G, we by $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $L(G)$, $T(G)$ denote the set of vertices, the set of edges, the line graph and the total graph of G, respectively. The following we state the motivations and our results of this paper.

Connectivity and edge-connectivity are two of the most basic concepts of graph-theoretic subjects, both in a combinatorial sense and an algorithmic sense.

*Supported by NSFC No. 11561056 and 11661066.

As we know, Menger's theorem is the most important basic result and fundamental theorem of connectivity. Based on this theorem, the 'path' version of *connectivity* of a graph G is defined as $\kappa(G) = \min\{\kappa_G(x,y) \,|\, x,y \in V(G), x \neq y\}$, where $\kappa_G(x,y)$ is the maximum number of internally disjoint paths connecting two distinct vertices x and y in G. Similarly, the *edge-connectivity* of graph G is defined as $\lambda(G) = \min\{\lambda_G(x,y) \,|\, x,y \in V(G), x \neq y\}$, where $\lambda_G(x,y)$ is the maximum number of edge-disjoint paths connecting x and y.

Although there are many elegant and powerful results on these two parameters in graph theory, they also have their defects on measuring connection of a graph. So people want some generalizations of both connectivity and edge-connectivity.

The generalized connectivity of a graph G, introduced by Hager [5], is a natural and nice generalization of the 'path' version definition of connectivity. For a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a set $S \subseteq V$ of at least two vertices, *an S-Steiner tree* or *a Steiner tree connecting S* (or simply, *an S-tree*) is a subgraph $T = (V', E')$ of G that is a tree with $S \subseteq V'$. Two Steiner trees T and T' connecting S are said to be *internally disjoint* if $E(T) \cap E(T') = \varnothing$ and $V(T) \cap V(T') = S$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| \geq 2$, the *generalized local connectivity* $\kappa(S)$ is the maximum number of internally disjoint Steiner trees connecting S in G. Note that when $|S| = 2$ a minimal Steiner tree connecting S is just a path connecting the two vertices of S. For an integer k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, *generalized k-connectivity* (or *k-tree-connectivity*) is defined as $\kappa_k(G) = \min\{\kappa(S) \,|\, S \subseteq V(G), |S| = k\}$. Clearly, when $|S| = 2$, $\kappa_2(G)$ is the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of G, that is, $\kappa_2(G) = \kappa(G)$. As a natural counterpart of the generalized connectivity, in [25] X.Li and Y.Mao introduced the concept of generalized edge-connectivity, which is a generalization of the 'path' version definition of edge-connectivity. For $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| \geq 2$, the *generalized local edge-connectivity* $\lambda(S)$ is the maximum number of edge-disjoint Steiner trees connecting S in G. For an integer k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, the *generalized k-edge-connectivity* $\lambda_k(G)$ of G is then defined as $\lambda_k(G) = \min\{\lambda(S) \,|\, S \subseteq V(G) \text{ and } |S| = k\}$. It is also clear that $\lambda_2(G) = \lambda(G)$. Results on the generalized edge-connectivity can be found in [16, 25].

Recently, book[16], written by X. Li and Y. Mao, has been published, where authors bring together the known results, conjectures, and open problems on generalized connectivity and edge generalized connectivity. And thus many researchers pay more attention to the study for this topic. See [8, 17, 22, 24, 23, 30].

The total graph $T(G)$ of G is graph with the vertex set is $V(G) \cup E(G)$ and two vertices x, y of $T(G)$ are adjacent if one of the following cases hold: (i) $x, y \in V(G)$ and x is adjacent with y in G. (ii) $x, y \in E(G)$ and x, y are adjacent in G. (iii) $x \in V(G)$, $y \in E(G)$, and x, y are incident in G. Clearly, total graph is generalized line graph. T. Nonaka et al., in [32] determined the connectivity and edge connectivity of the total graph $T(G)$. Motivated by this, in this paper, we investigate the generalized 3-(edge)-connectivity of total graph for

some graphs, such as tree, unicycle graph, complete graph and complete bipartite graph in section 3. And further we discuss the bounds on 3-generalized-(edge-)connectivity for the total graph in section 4.

2 Preliminary and known results

Observation 2.1 *If G is a connected graph, then $\kappa_k(G) \leq \lambda_k(G) \leq \delta(G)$.*

Observation 2.2 *If H is a spanning subgraph of G, then $\kappa_k(H) \leq \kappa_k(G)$ and $\lambda_k(H) \leq \lambda_k(G)$.*

Proposition 2.3 *[7] For every two integers n and k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, $\kappa_k(K_n) = n - \lceil k/2 \rceil$.*

Proposition 2.4 *[25] For every two integers n and k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, $\lambda_k(K_n) = n - \lceil k/2 \rceil$.*

Proposition 2.5 *[23] Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 6$. Then for $3 \leq k \leq 6$, $\kappa_k(G) \leq \kappa(G)$. Moreover, the upper bound is always sharp for $3 \leq k \leq 6$.*

Proposition 2.6 *[25] For any graph G of order n. $\lambda_k(G) \leq \lambda(G)$. Moreover, the upper bound is tight.*

Proposition 2.7 *[23] Let G be a connected graph of order n with minimum degree δ. If there are two adjacent vertices of degree δ, then $\kappa_3(G) \leq \delta - 1$. Moreover, the upper bound is sharp.*

Proposition 2.8 *[26] Let G be a connected graph of order n with minimum degree δ. If there are two adjacent vertices of degree δ, then $\lambda_k(G) \leq \delta - 1$ for $3 \leq k \leq n$. Moreover, the upper bound is sharp.*

Proposition 2.9 *[23] Let G be a connected graph with n vertices. For every two integers k and r with $k \geq 0$ and $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, if $\kappa(G) = 4k + r$, then $\kappa_3(G) \geq 3k + \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$. Moreover, the lower bound is sharp.*

Theorem 2.10 *[21] Given any three positive integers a, b, k such that $a \leq b$ and $2 \leq k \leq a + b$, let $K_{a,b}$ denote a complete bipartite graph with a bipartition of sizes a and b. Then $\kappa_k(K_{a,b})$ is a for $k \leq b - a + 2$ and*

(i) $\frac{a+b-k+1}{2} + \left\lfloor \frac{(a-b+k-1)(b-a+k-1)}{4(k-1)} \right\rfloor$ *for $k > b - a + 2$ and $a - b + k$ is odd.*

(ii) $\frac{a+b-k}{2} + \left\lfloor \frac{(a-b+k)(b-a+k)}{4(k-1)} \right\rfloor$ *for $k > b - a + 2$ and $a - b + k$ is even.*

Corollary 2.11 *Let a, b be two integers with $2 \leq a \leq b$, and $K_{a,b}$ denote a complete bipartite graph with a bipartition of sizes a and b, respectively. Then*

$$\kappa_3(K_{a,b}) = \begin{cases} a-1, & if\ b = a; \\ a, & if\ b > a. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.12 *[27] Let a, b be two integers with $2 \leq a \leq b$, and $K_{a,b}$ denote a complete bipartite graph with a bipartition of sizes a and b, respectively. Then*

$$\lambda_3(K_{a,b}) = \begin{cases} a-1, & if\ b = a; \\ a, & if\ b > a. \end{cases}$$

3 Generalized 3-(edge)-connectivity for total graph

In this section, we determine the generalized 3-connectivity and generalized 3-edge-connectivity of some total graph $T(G)$ such as total graphs of tree, unicycle graph, complete graph and complete bipartite graph.

For $S \subset V(G)$, we by $G[S]$ denote the subgraph of G which induced by S. Let T be a subtree of G, then $E(T) \subset V(L(G))$. A spanning tree of induced subgraph $L(G)[E(T)]$ be called *a corresponding tree* of T in $L(G)$, denoted by CT. Now we start our investigation with tree T_n with order n.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $T(T_n)$ be a total graph of tree T_n with order $n(\geq 2)$. Then the generalized 3-connectivity of $T(T_n)$ is*

$$\kappa_3(T(T_n)) = \begin{cases} 1, & if\ n = 2, \\ 2, & if\ n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $T(T_2) = T(K_2) = C_3$, so $\kappa_3(T(T_n)) = 1$ while $n = 2$. Here we consider $n \geq 3$. Since the minimum degree of $T(T_n)$ is 2, by Observation 2.1, we have $\kappa_3(T(T_n)) \leq 2$. Next we prove $\kappa_3(T(T_n)) \geq 2$.

Suppose $V(T_n) = \{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n\}$, $V(L(T_n)) = \{e_{ij} | e_{ij} = u_i u_j \in E(T_n)\}$, then $V(T(T_n)) = V(L(T_n)) \cup V(T_n)$. Let S be a 3-subset of $V(T(T_n))$, we only need to show that there exist at least two internally disjoint S-trees in $T(T_n)$.

If $|S \cap V(T_n)| = 3$, assume $S = \{u_i, u_j, u_k\} \subseteq V(T_n)$. By P_{ij} denote the path connecting vertices u_i and u_j in T_n, then we obtain one S-tree $T = P_{ij} \cup P_{ik}$ in T_n. Let CT be the corresponding tree of T in $L(T_n)$ and suppose u_a, u_b and u_c are neighbor vertices of u_i, u_j and u_k in T, respectively. Follow this we get another S-tree $T' = CT \cup u_i e_{ia} \cup u_j e_{jb} \cup u_k e_{kc}$. Clearly, these two S-trees are internally disjoint, as desire. See Fig. 1 (a).

If $|S \cap V(T_n)| = 2$, assume $S = \{u_i, u_j, e_{ks}\}$. Then let $S' = \{u_i, u_j, u_k\} \subseteq V(T_n)$ and $S'' = \{e_{ip}, e_{jq}, e_{ks}\} \subseteq V(L(T_n))$. Since T_n and $L(T_n)$ are both connected subgraphs of in $T(T_n)$, there exist a S'-tree in T_n, written as $T_{S'}$, and a S''-tree in $L(T_n)$, written as $T_{S''}$. Follow this we can obtain two internally disjoint S-trees: $u_k e_{ks} \cup T_{S'}$ and $u_i e_{ip} \cup u_j e_{jq} \cup T_{S''}$, as desire. See Fig. 1 (b).

If $|S \cap V(T_n)| = 1$, assume $S = \{u_i, e_{jq}, e_{ks}\}$. Then let $S' = \{u_i, u_j, u_k\} \subseteq V(T_n)$ and $S'' = \{e_{ip}, e_{jq}, e_{ks}\} \subseteq V(L(T_n))$. Similarly we obtain a S'-tree $T_{S'}$ in T_n and a S''-tree $T_{S''}$ in $L(T_n)$. Thus we construct two internally disjoint S-trees in $T(T_n)$ as: $u_j e_{jq} \cup u_k e_{ks} \cup T_{S'}$ and $u_i e_{ip} \cup T_{S''}$, as desire. See Fig. 1 (c).

If $|S \cap V(T_n)| = 0$, assume $S = \{e_{ip}, e_{jq}, e_{ks}\}$ and let $S' = \{u_i, u_j, u_k\} \subseteq V(T_n)$, then we can obtain a S'-tree in T_n, written as $T_{S'}$. Follow this we can obtain one S-tree in T_n is $u_i e_{ip} \cup u_j e_{jq} \cup u_k e_{ks} \cup T_{S'}$. Since $S \subset V(L(T_n))$ and $L(T_n)$ be connected, we get another S-tree T in $L(T_n)$. Clearly, these two S-trees are internally disjoint, as desire. See Fig. 1 (d).

Therefore, we get $\kappa_3(T(T_n)) = 2$ for $n \geq 3$. ∎



Figure 1: Two internally disjoint S-trees for 3-subset $S = \{x, y, z\} \subset V(L(T_n)) \cup V(T_n)$: One is dotted, another is dashed.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $T(T_n)$ be a total graph of tree T_n with order $n(\geq 2)$. Then the generalized 3-edge-connectivity of $T(T_n)$ is*

$$\lambda_3(T(T_n)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 2, & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $T(T_2) = C_3$, so $\lambda_3(T(T_2)) = 1$. While $n \geq 3$, note that the minimum degree of $T(T_n)$ is 2, by Observation 2.1, we get $\lambda_3(T(T_n)) \leq 2$. On the other hand, by the Observation 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, $\lambda_3(T(T_n)) \geq \kappa_3(T(T_n)) = 2$. Thus $\lambda_3(T(T_n)) = 2$ for $n \geq 3$. ∎

The following we determine the generalized 3-connectivity and the generalized 3-edge-connectivity of unicycle graph.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $T(G_n)$ be a total graph of unicycle graph G_n with order $n(\geq 3)$. Then the generalized 3-connectivity of $T(G_n)$ is*

$$\kappa_3(T(G_n)) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } G_n = C_n, \\ 2, & \text{otherwisw.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First consider $G_n = C_n$. Since $T(C_n)$ is 4-regular graph, by Proposition 2.7 we get $\kappa_3(T(C_n)) \leq 3$. On the other hand, since $T(C_n)$ is 4-connected, by Proposition 2.9 we get $\kappa_3(T(C_n)) \geq 3$. Thus $\kappa_3(T(C_n)) = 3$.

For the general case, if $G_n \neq C_n$, since the minimum degree of $T(G_n)$ is 2 and thus by Observation 2.1 get $\kappa_3(T(G_n)) \leq 2$. On the other hand, suppose C is unique cycle of G_n and e_{ij} is an edge of C. Now let $H_n = G_n - e_{ij}$, clearly, H_n is a spanning tree of G_n and by Theorem 3.1 we have $\kappa_3(T(H_n)) = 2$. Combine this with Observation 2.2 we get $\kappa_3(T(T_n)) \geq 2$. Hence $\kappa_3(T(T_n)) = 2$ for $G_n \neq C_n$. ∎

Theorem 3.4 *Let $T(G_n)$ be a total graph of unicycle graph G_n with order $n(\geq 3)$. Then the generalized 3-edge-connectivity of $T(G_n)$ is*

$$\lambda_3(T(G_n)) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } G_n = C_n, \\ 2, & \text{otherwisw.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. While $G_n = C_n$. Since $T(C_n)$ is 4-regular graph, by Proposition 2.8 we get $\lambda_3(T(C_n)) \leq 3$. At the same time, by Observation 2.1 and Theorem 3.3 we have $\lambda_3(T(C_n)) \geq \kappa_3(T(C_n)) = 3$. Thus $\lambda_3(T(C_n)) = 3$.

While $G_n \neq C_n$, since the minimum degree of $T(G_n)$ is 2, by Observation 2.1 we have $\lambda_3(T(G_n)) \leq 2$. On the other hand, by Observation 2.1 and Theorem 3.3 we have $\lambda_3(T(G_n)) \geq \kappa_3(T(G_n)) = 2$, so $\lambda_3(T(G_n)) = 2$ for $G_n \neq C_n$. ∎

Next we determine the generalized 3-connectivity and the generalized 3-edge-connectivity of complete graph. Before investigation, we first list a useful Lemma.

Lemma 3.5 *[27] Let $L(K_n)$ be a line graph of complete graph K_n with $V(L(K_n)) = \{e_{ij} | e_{ij} = u_iu_j\}$ for $V(K_n) = \{u_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Suppose $S_0 = \{e_{pq}, e_{rs}, e_{tk}\} \subseteq V(L(K_n))$ and $V_{S_0} = \{u_p, u_q, u_r, u_s, u_t, u_k\} \subseteq V(K_n)$. If the induced subgraph $K_n[V_{S_0}] = K_3$, then generalized local connectivity $\kappa(S_0) = \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor$.*

Lemma 3.6 *[27] Let K_n be complete graph with order $n(\geq 3)$. Then the generalized 3-connectivity of line graph $L(K_n)$ is $\kappa_3(L(K_n)) = \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor$.*

Lemma 3.7 *[27] Let K_n be complete graph with order $n(\geq 3)$. Then the generalized 3-edge-connectivity of line graph $L(K_n)$ is $\lambda_3(L(K_n)) = 2n-5$.*

Theorem 3.8 *Let $T(K_n)$ be a total graph of complete graph K_n with order $n(\geq 2)$. Then the generalized 3-connectivity of $T(K_n)$ is*

$$\kappa_3(T(K_n)) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } n = 3, \\ \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 and 3.3, the result holds for cases when $n = 2, 3$. Now we consider $n \geq 4$. Suppose $V(K_n) = \{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n\}$ and $V(L(K_n)) = \{e_{ij} | e_{ij} = u_i u_j \in E(K_n)\}$, then $V(T(K_n)) = V(L(K_n)) \cup V(K_n)$. First let $S_0 = \{e_{ij}, e_{jk}, e_{ik}\} \subseteq V(L(K_n))$. Clearly, $V_{S_0} = \{u_i, u_j, u_k\}$ and $K_n[V_{S_0}] = K_3$, by Lemma 3.5 there exist at most $\lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor$ internally disjoint S_0-trees in $L(K_n)$. Besides these S_0-trees, add tree $e_{ij}u_i u_j e_{jk} \cup e_{ik}u_i$ together, we obtain at most $\lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$ internally disjoint S_0-trees in $T(K_n)$. Thus we get $\kappa_3(T(K_n)) \leq \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$. The following we distinguish four cases to show $\kappa_3(T(K_n)) \geq \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$. Let $S = \{x, y, z\}$ be a 3-subset of $V(T(K_n))$, we only need to show that there exist at least $\lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$.

Case 1. $|S \cap V(K_n)| = 3$

This means $x, y, z \in V(K_n)$, assume $x = u_a, y = u_b, z = u_c$ with $1 \leq a, b, c \leq n$. Firstly, path zxy together with trees $T_i = u_i z \cup u_i x \cup u_i y$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, b, c\}$ are $n-2$ internally disjoint S-trees. Secondly, paths $xe_{ab}yz$, $xe_{ac}ze_{bc}y$ and trees $T_j = xe_{ja}e_{jb}y \cup e_{jb}e_{jc}z$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, b, c\}$ are $n-1$ internally disjoint S-trees. Total up all we get $2n-3 > \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$, as desire.

Case 2. $|S \cap V(K_n)| = 2$

Without loss of generality, assume $x, y \in V(K_n)$, $z \in V(L(K_n))$ and then let $x = u_a, y = u_b, z = e_{cd}$ with $1 \leq a, b, c, d \leq n$. Here first consider the case for $u_a u_b \neq u_c u_d$. If edges $u_a u_b$ and $u_c u_d$ are nonadjacent in K_n, then we form internally disjoint S-trees as: For every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, b\}$ to form $T_i = xu_i y \cup u_i e_{ic} z$ and thus get $n-2$ internally disjoint S-trees; For every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, b, d\}$ to form $T_i' = xe_{ai}e_{bi}y \cup e_{bi}e_{id}z$ and thus get $n-3$ internally disjoint S-trees. Put all T_i, T_i' with trees $yxe_{ad}z$ and $xe_{ab}ye_{bd}z$ together, we get $2n-3$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$. If edges $u_a u_b$ and $u_c u_d$ are adjacent in K_n, by similar procedure as the above we also get $2n-3$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$. Note that $2n-3 > \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$, so the result holds.

Now we consider the case $u_au_b = u_cu_d$, it is clear that for every two integers $i, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, b\}$, we can get three internally disjoint S-trees such as $xu_ie_{ai}z \cup u_iy$, $xu_je_{bj}z \cup u_jy$ and $xe_{aj}ze_{bi}y$ and thus get at least $\lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor$ internally disjoint S-trees. Put these trees with xyz together, we obtain at least $\lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$, as we desire.

Case 3. $|S \cap V(K_n)| = 1$

Assume $x \in V(K_n)$, $y, z \in V(L(K_n))$ and then let $x = u_a, y = e_{bc}, z = e_{df}$ with $1 \leq a, b, c, d, f \leq n$. If e_{bc}, e_{df} and u_a are nonadjacent each other in $T(K_n)$, we can form internally disjoint S-trees as: For every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, d\}$ to form $T_i = xu_ie_{ic}y \cup u_ie_{id}z$ and thus get $n-2$ internally disjoint S-trees; For every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, b\}$ to form $T_i' = xe_{ai} \cup ze_{if}e_{ai}e_{ib}y$ and thus get $n-2$ internally disjoint S-trees. Put all these trees with tree $ye_{ab}xu_dz$ together we get $2n - 3 > \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$, as desire.

If e_{bc} and e_{df} are adjacent but nonadjacent to u_a, then assume $d = c$. Thus we form internally disjoint S-trees as: For every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, f\}$ to form $T_i = xu_ie_{ic}y \cup e_{ic}z$ and thus get $n-2$ internally disjoint S-trees; For every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, b, f\}$ to form $T_i' = xe_{ai} \cup ze_{if}e_{ai}e_{ib}y$ and thus get $n-3$ internally disjoint S-trees. Put all these trees with trees $xu_fe_{bf}y \cup e_{bf}z$ and $xe_{ab}e_{af}z \cup e_{ab}y$ together, we get $2n - 3 > \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$, as desire.

If e_{bc} and e_{df} are adjacent and one of them adjacent to u_a, then assume $d = c$ and $a = b$. Thus trees $T_i = xu_ie_{ic}y \cup e_{ic}z$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{f\}$ are $n-1$ internally disjoint S-trees. Besides these, trees $T_i' = xe_{ai} \cup ze_{if}e_{ai}e_{ib}y$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, c\}$ are also $n-2$ internally disjoint S-trees. Altogether, we get $2n - 3 > \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$, as desire.

If e_{bc}, u_a and e_{df} are adjacent each other, then assume $a = d = c$. Trees $T_i = xu_ie_{ib}y \cup u_ie_{if}z$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{a, f\}$ and $T_i' = xe_{ai}y \cup e_{ai}z$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{c, f\}$ are $2n-4$ internally disjoint S-trees. In addition to these, add tree yu_bxz together, we get $2n - 3 > \lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$, as desire.

Case 4. $|S \cap V(K_n)| = 0$

It is clear $S \subseteq V(L(K_n))$ in this case, so by Lemma 3.6 there exist at least $\lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor$ internally disjoint S-trees in $V(L(K_n))$. Put these S-trees with tree $e_{ab}u_au_ce_{cd} \cup u_cu_ge_{gf}$ together we get $\lfloor \frac{3(n-2)}{2} \rfloor + 1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$, as desire.

This complete the proof. ∎

Theorem 3.9 *Let $T(K_n)$ be a total graph of complete graph K_n with order $n(\geq 2)$. Then the generalized 3-edge-connectivity of $T(K_n)$ is $\lambda_3(T(K_n)) = 2n - 3$.*

Proof. Since $T(K_n)$ is $2n-2$ regular graph, by proposition 2.8 $\lambda_3(T(K_n)) \leq 2n-3$. Next we by constructing $2n-3$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$ for any 3-subset S of $V(T(K_n))$ to prove $\lambda_3(T(K_n)) \geq 2n-3$.

Recall of the proof of Theorem 3.8, except case $|S \cap V(K_n)| = 0$ and case $|S \cap V(K_n)| = 2$ for $S = \{u_a, u_b, e_{cd}\}$ with $u_a u_b = u_c u_d$, there always exist at least $2n-3$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$, which are also edge disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$. Thus here we only need to consider the above two exception cases and show there still exist at least $2n-3$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$.

If $|S \cap V(K_n)| = 0$, assume $S = \{e_{ab}, e_{cd}, e_{gf}\} \subset V(L(K_n))$, by Lemma 3.7 there exist at least $2n-5$ edge disjoint S-trees in $L(K_n)$, in addition these trees, add two edge disjoint S-trees $e_{ab}u_a u_c e_{cd} \cup u_c u_g e_{gf}$ and $e_{ab}u_b u_d e_{cd} \cup u_d u_f e_{gf}$ together, we obtain at least $2n-3$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$, as desire.

If $|S \cap V(K_n)| = 2$ for $S = \{u_a, u_b, e_{cd}\}$ with $u_a u_b = u_c u_d$, this means $S = \{u_a, u_b, e_{ab}\}$, then we form S-trees $T_i^1 = xu_i e_{bi} z \cup e_{bi} y$ and $T_i^2 = xe_{ai} u_i y \cup e_{ai} z$ for every $i \in [n] \setminus \{a, b\}$ and thus get $2n-4$ edge disjoint S-trees. Add tree xzy together with all T_i^1 and T_i^2 we get $2n-3$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(K_n)$, as desire.

Thus we get $\lambda_3(T(K_n)) \geq 2n-3$. This complete the proof. ∎

At end of this section, we determine the generalized 3-connectivity and the generalized 3-edge-connectivity of the total graph of the complete bipartite graph. We start with definition and Lemmas.

The Cartesian product $G_1 \times G_2$ of G_1 and G_2 is a graph which has vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ with two vertices $x = (u, u')$ and $y = (v, v')$ adjacent iff for $u = v$, u' is adjacent with v' in G_2 or $u' = v'$, u is adjacent with v in G_1.

It is clear that line graph $L(K_{m,n})$ of complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ is the Cartesian product of K_m and K_n and the generalized 3-connectivity of $L(K_{m,n})$ has been determined in our another paper, which listed as follow.

Lemma 3.10 *[27] Let $L(K_{m,n})$ be the line graph of complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}(m \leq n)$, then the generalized 3-connectivity of $L(K_{m,n})$ is $\kappa_3(L(K_{m,n})) = \kappa_3(K_m \times K_n) = m + n - 3$.*

Theorem 3.11 *Let $T(K_{m,n})$ be a total graph of complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with $1 \leq m \leq n$. Then the generalized 3-connectivity of $T(K_{m,n})$ is*

$$\kappa_3(T(K_{m,n})) = \begin{cases} 2m-1, & \text{if } m = n, \\ 2m, & \text{if } m < n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $U = \{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_m\}$ and $V = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\}$ be the two parts of $K_{m,n}$, ie., $V(K_{m,n}) = U \cup V$. Then $V(L(K_{m,n})) = \{e_{ij} | e_{ij} = u_i v_j\}$ and

$V(T(K_{m,n})) = U \cup V \cup V(L(K_{m,n}))$. Clearly, by Theorem 3.1, the results hold for case $m = 1$. The following we consider cases for $n \geq m \geq 2$.

Case 1. $m = n$

Since $T(K_{m,m})$ is $2m$ regular, by proposition 2.7 we get $\kappa_3(T(K_{m,m})) \leq 2m-1$. The following we prove $\kappa_3(T(K_{m,m})) \geq 2m-1$. In fact, for any 3-subset $S = \{x, y, z\}$ of $V(T(K_{m,m}))$, here we only need to show that there exist at least $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_{m,m})$.

Subcase 1.1. $|S \cap V(K_{m,m})| = 3$

$|S \cap V(K_{m,m})| = 3$ means $S \subseteq V(K_{m,m})$. Then either $|S \cap V| = 3$ or $|S \cap V| = 2$ and $|S \cap U| = 1$. If $|S \cap V| = 3$, assume $x = v_1, y = v_2, z = v_3$ (see Fig 2 (a)), we can form $2m(> 2m-1)$ internally disjoint S-trees such as: $T_i = xu_iz \cup u_iy$ and $T_i' = xe_{i1}e_{i2}y \cup e_{i2}e_{i3}z$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. If $|S \cap V| = 2$ and $|S \cap U| = 1$, assume $x = v_1, y = v_2, z = u_m$ (see Fig 2 (b)), we form $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees as follow: xzy, $xe_{m1}e_{11}e_{12}y \cup e_{m1}z$, $xu_1ye_{m2}z$ and $xu_iy \cup u_iv_{i+1}z$, $xe_{i1}e_{i2}e_{i(i+1)}e_{m(i+1)}z \cup e_{i2}y$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$. All as we desire.



Figure 2: The subcase for $|S \cap V(K_{m,n})| = 3$.

Subcase 1.2. $|S \cap V(K_{m,m})| = 2$

Here we need discuss five possible cases. If x, y, z are nonadjacent each other in $T(K_{m,m})$, assume $x = v_1, y = v_2, z = e_{mm}(m \neq 1, 2)$ (see Fig 3 (a)). Then $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees be formed as follows: $xu_mz \cup u_my$, $xu_1v_mz \cup u_1y$, $xe_{m1}e_{m2}z \cup e_{m2}y$, $xe_{11}e_{12}e_{1m}z \cup e_{12}y$ and $xu_ie_{im}z \cup u_iy$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$, $xe_{i1}e_{i2}e_{i(i+1)}e_{m(i+1)}z \cup e_{i2}y$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-2$.

If x is nonadjacent to y, z but y and z are adjacent in $T(K_{m,m})$, assume $x = v_1, y = v_2, z = e_{22}$ (see Fig 3 (b)). Then $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees are formed as: $xu_2z \cup u_2y$, xu_1yz, $xe_{11}e_{12}z \cup e_{12}y$ and $xu_iv_ie_{2i}z \cup u_iy$, $xe_{i1}e_{i2}z \cup e_{i2}y$ for $3 \leq i \leq m$.

If z is nonadjacent to x, y but x and y are adjacent in $T(K_{m,m})$, assume $x = v_1, y = u_1, z = e_{mm}$ (see Fig 3 (c)). Then $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees be formed as: xyv_mz, $ye_{11}xu_mz$, $xe_{m1}ze_{1m}y$ and $yv_iu_ix \cup u_ie_{im}z$, $xe_{i1}e_{ii}e_{1i}y \cup e_{1i}e_{mi}z$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If x and z are both adjacent to y but x and z are nonadjacent in $T(K_{m,m})$,

assume $x = v_1, y = u_1, z = e_{1m}$ (see Fig 3 (d)). Then $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees be formed as: xyz, $xe_{11}y \cup e_{11}z$, $xu_mv_mz \cup u_my$ and $xu_iv_iy \cup u_ie_{im}z$, $xe_{i1}e_{ii}e_{1i}y \cup e_{ii}z$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If x, y, z are adjacent each other in $T(K_{m,m})$, assume $x = v_1, y = u_1, z = e_{11}$ (see Fig 3 (e)). Then xzy, $xe_{1m}z \cup e_{1m}e_{mm}u_my$, $ye_{m1}z \cup e_{m1}e_{m2}v_2x$ and $xe_{1i}z \cup e_{1i}e_{ii}u_iy$, $ye_{i1}e_{i(i+1)}v_{i+1}x \cup e_{i1}z$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$ are $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_{m,m})$.



Figure 3: The subcases for $|S \cap V(K_{m,n})| = 2$.

Subcase 1.3. $|S \cap V(K_{m,m})| = 1$

Similarly, we also need discuss five possible cases while $|S \cap V(K_{m,m})| = 1$. If x, y, z are nonadjacent each other in $T(K_{m,m})$, assume $x = v_1, y = e_{22}, z = e_{mm}$ (see Fig 4 (a)). Then $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees be formed as: $xu_2v_mz \cup u_2y$, $xu_mv_2y \cup u_mz$, $xu_1e_{12}y \cup e_{12}e_{1m}z$, $xe_{21}ye_{2m}z$, $xe_{m1}ze_{m2}y$ and $xu_iv_ie_{mi}z \cup v_ie_{2i}y$, $xe_{i1}e_{ii}e_{im}z \cup e_{ii}e_{i2}y$ for $3 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If y, z are adjacent but they are nonadjacent to x in $T(K_{m,m})$, assume $x = v_1, y = e_{22}, z = e_{m2}$ (see Fig 4 (b)). Then $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: $xu_1v_2z \cup v_2y$, $xe_{11}e_{12}z \cup e_{12}y$, $xu_2v_mu_mz \cup u_2y$, $xe_{21}y \cup e_{21}e_{2m}e_{mm}z$, $xe_{m1}zy$ and $xu_ie_{i2}y \cup e_{i2}z$, $xe_{i1}e_{ii}e_{2i}y \cup e_{ii}e_{mi}z$ for $3 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If x, y are adjacent but they are nonadjacent to z in $T(K_{m,m})$, assume $x = v_1, y = e_{21}, z = e_{mm}$ (see Fig 4 (c)). Then $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: yxu_mz, $xu_2v_mz \cup u_2y$, $xe_{m1}ze_{2m}y$, $xu_1v_2e_{22}y \cup e_{22}e_{m2}z$, $xe_{11}y \cup e_{11}e_{1m}z$ and $xu_iv_ie_{2i}y \cup v_ie_{mi}z$, $xe_{i1}y \cup e_{i1}e_{im}z$ for $3 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If x and z are both adjacent to y but x and z are nonadjacent in $T(K_{m,m})$, assume $x = v_1, y = e_{21}, z = e_{22}$ (see Fig 4 (d)). Then $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: xyz, $xu_2y \cup u_2z$, $xe_{11}u_1v_2z \cup e_{11}y$ and $xu_iv_ie_{2i}y \cup e_{2i}z$,

$xe_{i1}y \cup e_{i1}e_{i2}z$ for $3 \leq i \leq m$.

If x, y, z are adjacent each other in $T(K_{m,m})$, assume $x = v_1, y = e_{11}, z = e_{21}$ (see Fig 4 (e)). Then $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: yxz, $xu_1y \cup u_1v_2u_2z$, $zye_{12}e_{22}u_2x$ and $xu_iv_ie_{2i}z \cup v_ie_{1i}y$, $xe_{i1}y \cup e_{i1}z$ for $3 \leq i \leq m$.



Figure 4: The subcases for $|S \cap V(K_{m,n})| = 1$.

Subcase 1.4. $|S \cap V(K_{m,m})| = 0$

$|S \cap V(K_{m,m})| = 0$ means $S \subseteq V(L(K_{m,m}))$, without loss generality, suppose $S = \{e_{pq}, e_{rs}, e_{tk}\}$, then by Lemma 3.10 there always exist $2m-3$ internally disjoint S-trees in $L(K_{m,m})$, named as $T_i (1 \leq i \leq 2m-3)$. Now we add two S-trees $e_{pq}u_pv_ju_te_{tk} \cup v_ju_re_{rs}$ and $e_{pq}v_qu_jv_ke_{tk} \cup u_jv_se_{rs}$ to all T_i, here $j \neq p, q, r, s, t, k$. In total, we get $2m-1$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_{m,m})$, as desire.

Case 2. $m < n$

Since the minimum degree of $T(K_{m,n})$ is $2m$, by Observation 2.1 we get $\kappa_3(T(K_{m,n})) \leq 2m$. Let $S = \{x, y, z\}$ be a 3-subset of $V(T(K_{m,n}))$, now we by forming $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_{m,n})$ to prove $\kappa_3(T(K_{m,n})) \geq 2m$.

Subcase 2.1. $|S \cap V(K_{m,n})| = 3$

Clearly, either $|S \cap V| = 3$ or $|S \cap V| = 2$ and $|S \cap U| = 1$. If $|S \cap V| = 3$, by the proof of Subcase 1.1, there exist $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_{m,n})$. Here we consider the case for $|S \cap V| = 2$ and $|S \cap U| = 1$, assume $x = v_1, y = v_2, z = u_m$ (see Fig 2 (b)),we can form $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees as: xzy, $xe_{m1}ze_{m2}y$ and $xu_iy \cup u_iv_{i+2}z$, $xe_{i1}e_{i2}e_{i(i+2)}e_{m(i+2)}z \cup e_{i2}y$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. As desire.

Subcase 2.2. $|S \cap V(K_{m,n})| = 2$

Here we need discuss five possible cases. If x, y, z are nonadjacent each other in $T(K_{m,n})$, assume $x = v_1, y = v_2, z = e_{mm}$ (see Fig 3 (a)). Then we form $2m$

internally disjoint S-trees as: $xu_mz \cup u_my$, $xe_{m1}e_{m2}y \cup e_{m2}z$ and $xu_iv_{i+2}e_{m(i+2)}z \cup u_iy$, $ye_{i2}e_{i1}x \cup e_{i1}e_{im}z$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If y and z are nonadjacent to x but y and z are adjacent in $T(K_{m,n})$, assume $x = v_1, y = v_2, z = e_{11}$ (see Fig 3 (b)). Then $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: $xu_1z \cup u_1y$, $ye_{12}zx$ and $xu_iv_{i+1}e_{1(i+1)}z \cup u_iy$, $xe_{i1}e_{i2}y \cup e_{i1}z$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$.

If x and y are nonadjacent to z but x and y are adjacent in $T(K_{m,n})$, assume $x = v_1, y = u_1, z = e_{mm}$ (see Fig 3 (c)). Then $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: xyv_mz, $yv_{m+1}u_mz \cup u_mx$, $xe_{11}y \cup e_{11}e_{m1}z$, $xe_{m1}ze_{m(m+1)}e_{1(m+1)}y$ and $yv_iu_ix \cup u_ie_{im}z$, $xe_{i1}e_{ii}e_{1i}y \cup e_{1i}e_{mi}z$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If x and z are both adjacent to y but x and z are nonadjacent in $T(K_{m,n})$, assume $x = v_1, y = u_1, z = e_{1m}$ (see Fig 3 (d)). Then $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as follows: xyz, $xe_{11}y \cup e_{11}z$, $xu_mv_mz \cup u_my$, $xe_{m1}e_{m(m+1)}e_{1(m+1)}y \cup e_{1(m+1)}z$ and $xu_iv_iy \cup u_ie_{im}z$, $xe_{i1}e_{ii}e_{1i}y \cup e_{ii}z$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If x, y, z are adjacent each other in $T(K_{m,n})$, assume $x = v_1, y = u_1, z = e_{11}$ (see Fig 3 (e)). Then xzy, $xy \cup xe_{1(m+1)}z$, $xe_{1m}z \cup e_{1m}e_{mm}u_my$, $ye_{m1}z \cup e_{m1}e_{m2}v_2x$ and $xe_{1i}z \cup e_{1i}e_{ii}u_iy$, $ye_{i1}e_{i(i+1)}v_{i+1}x \cup e_{i1}z$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$ are $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_{m,n})$, as desire.

Subcase 2.3. $|S \cap V(K_{m,n})| = 1$

Similarly, we also need discuss five possible cases. If x, y, z are nonadjacent each other in $T(K_{m,n})$, assume $x = v_1, y = e_{22}, z = e_{mm}$ (see Fig 4 (a)). Then $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: $xu_2v_mz \cup u_2y$, $xu_mv_2y \cup u_mz$, $xu_1e_{12}y \cup e_{12}e_{1m}z$, $xe_{21}ye_{2m}z$, $xe_{m1}ze_{m2}y$, $xe_{11}e_{1(m+1)}e_{2(m+1)}y \cup e_{2(m+1)}e_{m(m+1)}z$ and $xu_iv_ie_{mi}z \cup e_{mi}e_{2i}y$, $xe_{i1}e_{im}z \cup e_{im}e_{i2}y$ for $3 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If y and z are adjacent but they are nonadjacent to x in $T(K_{m,n})$, assume $x = v_1, y = e_{22}, z = e_{m2}$ (see Fig 4 (b)). Then $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: $xu_1v_2z \cup v_2y$, $xe_{11}e_{12}z \cup e_{12}y$, $xu_2v_{m+1}e_{m(m+1)}z \cup u_2y$, $xu_mz \cap u_me_{m2}y$, $xe_{21}yz$, $xe_{m1}z \cup e_{m1}e_{mm}e_{2m}y$ and $xu_ie_{i2}y \cup e_{i2}z$, $xe_{i1}e_{ii}e_{2i}y \cup e_{ii}e_{mi}z$ for $3 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If x and y are adjacent but they are nonadjacent to z in $T(K_{m,n})$, assume $x = v_1, y = e_{11}, z = e_{mm}$ (see Fig 4 (c)). Then $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: $xye_{1m}z$, $xu_1v_mz \cup u_1y$, $xe_{m1}z \cup e_{1m}y$, $xu_me_{m(m+1)}e_{1(m+1)}y \cup e_{m(m+1)}z$ and $xu_iv_ie_{1i}y \cup v_ie_{mi}z$, $xe_{i1}y \cup e_{i1}e_{im}z$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$.

If x and z are both adjacent to y but x and z are nonadjacent in $T(K_{m,n})$, assume $x = v_1, y = e_{21}, z = e_{22}$ (see Fig 4 (d)). Then $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: xyz, $xu_2y \cup u_2z$, $xe_{11}e_{12}z \cup e_{11}y$, $xu_1v_{m+1}e_{2(m+1)}y \cup e_{2(m+1)}z$ and $xu_iv_ie_{2i}y \cup e_{2i}z$, $xe_{i1}y \cup e_{i1}e_{i2}z$ for $3 \leq i \leq m$.

If x, y, z are adjacent each other in $T(K_{m,n})$, assume $x = v_1, y = e_{11}, z = e_{21}$ (see Fig 4 (e)). Then $2m$ internally disjoint S-trees be constructed as: yxz,

$xu_1y \cup u_1e_{12}e_{22}z$, $xe_{21}z \cup e_{21}y$, $xu_2e_{2(m+1)}z \cup e_{2(m+1)}e_{1(m+1)}y$ and $xu_iv_ie_{2i}z \cup v_ie_{1i}y$, $xe_{i1}y \cup e_{i1}z$ for $3 \leq i \leq m$.

Subcase 2.4. $|S \cap V(K_{m,n})| = 0$

$|S \cap V(K_{m,n})| = 0$ means $S \subseteq V(L(K_{m,n}))$, assume $S = \{e_{pq}, e_{rs}, e_{tk}\}$, then by Lemma 3.10 there exist $m+n-3$ internally disjoint S-trees in $L(K_{m,n})$, named as $T_i (1 \leq i \leq m+n-3)$. Now we add two S-trees $e_{pq}u_pv_ju_te_{tk} \cup v_ju_re_{rs}$ and $e_{pq}v_qu_jv_ke_{tk} \cup u_jv_se_{rs}$ to all T_i, here $j \neq p, q, r, s, t, k$. Thus we get $m+n-1 (\geq 2m)$ internally disjoint S-trees in $T(K_{m,n})$, as desire.

By now we complete the proof. ∎

Note that the fact $T(K_{m,n})$ is $2m$ -regular graph while $m = n$ and its minimum degree is $2m$ while $m < n$. Combine this with Observation 2.1 and Theorem 3.11, we immediately get Theorem 3.12.

Theorem 3.12 *Let $T(K_{m,n})$ be a total graph of complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n} (m \leq n)$. Then the generalized 3-edge-connectivity of $T(K_{m,n})$ is*

$$\lambda_3(T(K_{m,n})) = \begin{cases} 2m-1, & \text{if } m = n, \\ 2m, & \text{if } m < n. \end{cases}$$

4 Bound for generalized 3-connectivity of total graph

In this section we give some bounds for the generalized 3-connectivity of total graph $T(G)$.

Lemma 4.1 *[6] Let G be graph for which $\kappa(G) \geq m$. Then $\kappa(T(G)) \geq 2m$ and $\lambda(T(G)) \geq 2m$.*

Lemma 4.2 *[27] Let G be connected graph, then $\kappa_3(L(G)) \geq \lambda_3(G)$.*

Theorem 4.3 *Let G be connected graph with connectivity $\kappa(G)$ and minimum degree $\delta(G)$. Then*

$$\lfloor \frac{3\kappa(G)-1}{2} \rfloor \leq \kappa_3(T(G)) \leq 2\delta(G)$$

Proof. Since the minimum degree of $T(G)$ is $2\delta(G)$, so by Proposition 2.6 we get $\kappa_3(T(G)) \leq 2\delta(G)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1, we know $\kappa(T(G)) \geq 2\kappa(G)$ and let $\kappa(T(G)) = 4a+b$ with $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Then we get $a \geq \frac{2\kappa(G)-b}{4}$. Combine this with Proposition 2.9 we have

$$\kappa_3(T(G)) \geq 3a + \lceil \frac{b}{2} \rceil \geq \frac{3(2\kappa(G)-b)}{4} + \lceil \frac{b}{2} \rceil$$

Since $b \in \{0,1,2,3\}$, so the value of $\frac{3(2\kappa(G)-b)}{4} + \lceil \frac{b}{2} \rceil$ can meet $\frac{3\kappa(G)}{2}, \frac{3\kappa(G)}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3\kappa(G)}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3\kappa(G)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$, respectively. Consider this with $\kappa_3(T(G))$ is an integer, we get

$$\kappa_3(T(G)) \geq \lfloor \frac{3\kappa(G)-1}{2} \rfloor$$

∎

Remark 4.4 *The upper bound is sharp for complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with $n > m$. The lower bound is also sharp for complete graph K_n with $n \neq 3$.*

Similar we also get the following result for the generalized 3-edge-connectivity of total graph $T(G)$.

Theorem 4.5 *Let G be connected graph with edge connectivity $\lambda(G)$ and minimum degree $\delta(G)$. Then*

$$\min\{2\lambda(G)-1, 2\lambda_3(G), \lambda_3(G)+2\} \leq \lambda_3(T(G)) \leq 2\delta(G)$$

Proof. Since the minimum degree of $T(G)$ is $2\delta(G)$, by Observation 2.1 we get $\lambda_3(T(G)) \leq 2\delta(G)$. Next we prove $\lambda_3(T(G)) \geq \min\{2\lambda(G)-1, 2\lambda_3(G), \lambda_3(G)+2\}$.

Suppose $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\}$ and $V(L(G)) = \{e_{ij} | v_i v_j \in E(G)\}$, let $S = \{x, y, z\}$ be a 3-subset of $V(T(G))$. The following we by constructing edge disjoint S-trees in $T(G)$ to prove $\lambda_3(T(G)) \geq \min\{2\lambda(G)-1, 2\lambda_3(G), \lambda_3(G)+2\}$. Now distinguish four cases to complete the proof.

Case 1. $|S \cap V(G)| = 3$

$|S \cap V(G)| = 3$ means $S \subseteq V(G)$, since there are $\lambda_3(G)$ edge disjoint S-trees in G, name them as T_i. In addition to these, it is clear that every T_i has a corresponding tree CT_i in $L(G)$ and every CT_i can be formed as a S-tree by connecting it with S. Thus altogether we can get at least $2\lambda_3(G)$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(G)$.

Case 2. $|S \cap V(G)| = 2$

Suppose $x, y \in V(G)$, $z \in V(L(G))$ and let $x = v_i, y = v_j, z = e_{pq}$. If $T(G)[S]$ is a triangle, since there are $\lambda(G)$ edge disjoint xy-paths in G and thus assume $xv_1v_2 \cdots v_k y$ is a xy-path. Based on this xy-path we can form 2 edge disjoint S-trees in $T(G)$ as: $xe_{i1}v_1v_2 \cdots v_k y \cup e_{i1}z$ and $xv_1e_{12}e_{23} \cdots e_{kj}y \cup e_{kj}z$. Thus we total get $2\lambda(G)$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(G)$.

If $p = i$ but $q \neq j$, assume $S' = \{v_i, v_j, v_q\} \subseteq V(G)$. Since there exist $\lambda_3(G)$ edge disjoint S'-trees in G, name them as T_i. For every pair trees T_i and its corresponding tree CT_i, we by symmetric difference operation on G and $L(G)$ can obtain $2\lambda_3(G)$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(G)$.

If $p \neq i$ and $q \neq j$, assume $S' = \{v_i, v_j, v_q\}$. Since there exist $\lambda_3(G)$ edge disjoint S'-trees in G, named as T_i. For every pair trees T_i and its corresponding tree CT_i, we also can obtain at least$\lambda_3(G) + 2$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(G)$.

Case 3. $|S \cap V(G)| = 1$

Assume $x \in V(G)$, $y, z \in V(L(G))$ and let $x = v_i, y = e_{jk}, z = e_{pq}$. No matter what vertices x, y, z they are, there exist 3 elements among v_i, v_j, v_k, v_p, v_q which can form a 3-subset $S' \subseteq V(G)$. Since there exist $\lambda_3(G)$ edge disjoint S'-trees in G, named as T_i. Similarly, based on every pair T_i and its corresponding tree CT_i we get at least $\lambda_3(G) + 2$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(G)$.

Case 4. $|S \cap V(G)| = 0$

This case means $S \subseteq V(L(G))$, by Lemma 4.2 there exist $\lambda_3(G)$ internally disjoint S-trees in $L(G)$, which are also edge disjoint S-trees. Based on this, using vertices in graph G can obtain at least $\lambda_3(G) + 2$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(G)$.

By argument of the above , we can claim that there exist at least $\min\{2\lambda(G) - 1, 2\lambda_3(G), \lambda_3(G)+2\}$ edge disjoint S-trees in $T(G)$. Thus $\lambda_3(T(G)) \geq \min\{2\lambda(G) - 1, 2\lambda_3(G), \lambda_3(G) + 2\}$. ∎

Remark 4.6 *The upper bound is sharp for complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with $n > m$. The lower bound is also sharp for $\lambda_3(T(C_n)) = 2\lambda(C_n) - 1 = \lambda_3(C_n) + 2$, $\lambda_3(T(K_n)) = 2\lambda(K_n) - 1$ and $\lambda_3(T(K_{m,n})) = 2\lambda_3(K_{m,n})$ with $n > m$.*

References

[1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, GTM 244, Springer, 2008.

[2] L.W. Beineke, R.J. Wilson, *Topics in Structural Graph Theory*, Cambrige University Press, 2013.

[3] F.T. Boesch, S. Chen, *A generalization of line connectivity and optimally invulnerable graphs*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 34(1978), 657–665.

[4] S. Chang, *The uniqueness and nonuniqueness of the triangular association scheme*, Sci. Record 3(1959), 604–613.

[5] M. Hager, *Pendant tree-connectivity*, J. Combin. Theory 38(1985), 179–189.

[6] T. Hamada, T. Nonaka, and I. Yoshimura, *On the Connectivity of Total Graphs*, Math. Ann. 196.30–38 (1972).

[7] G. Chartrand, S.F. Kappor, L. Lesniak, D.R. Lick, *Generalized connectivity in graphs*, Bull. Bombay Math. Colloq, 2(1984), 1–6.

[8] G. Chartrand, F. Okamoto, P. Zhang, *Rainbow trees in graphs and generalized connectivity*, Networks 55(4)(2010), 360–367.

[9] G. Chartrand, M. Steeart, *The connectivity of line graphs*, Math. Ann. 182(1969), 170–174.

[10] D.L. Goldsmith, B. Manval, V. Faber, *Seperation of graphs into three components by removal of edges*, J. Graph Theory 4(1980), 213–218.

[11] M. Grötschel, *The Steiner tree packing problem in $VLSI$ design*, Math. Program. 78(1997), 265–281.

[12] R. Gu, X. Li, Y. Shi, The generalized 3-connectivity of random graphs, *Acta Math. Sin. (Chin. Ser.)* **57**(2)(2014), 321–330.

[13] K. Jain, M. Mahdian, M. Salavatipour, *Packing Steiner trees*, in: Pro. of the 14th ACM-$SIAM$ symposium on Discterte Algorithms, Baltimore, 2003, 266–274.

[14] M. Kriesell, *Edge-disjoint trees containing some given vertices in a graph*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser.B 88(2003), 53–65.

[15] M. Kriesell, *Edge-disjoint Steiner trees in graphs without large bridges*, J. Graph Theory 62(2009), 188–198.

[16] X. Li, Y. Mao, *Generalized Connectivity of Graphs*, Springer, 2016.

[17] H. Li, X. Li, Y. Sun, *The generalied 3-connectivity of Cartesian product graphs*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 14(1)(2012), 43–54.

[18] S. Li, X. Li, Y. Shi, *The minimal size of a graph with generalized connectivity $\kappa_3 \geq 2$*, Australasian J. Combin. 51(2011), 209–220.

[19] S. Li, Y. Shi, J. Tu, *The generalized 3-connectivity of Cayley graphs generated by trees and cycles*, submitted.

[20] S. Li, W. Li, Y. Shi, H. Sun, *On minimally 2-connected graphs with generalized connectivity $\kappa_3 = 2$*, submitted.

[21] S. Li, W. Li, X. Li, *The generalized connectivity of complete bipartite graphs*, Ars Combin. 104(2012), 65–79.

[22] S. Li, W. Li, X. Li, *The generalized connectivity of complete equipartition 3-partite graphs*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., in press.

[23] S. Li, X. Li, W. Zhou, *Sharp bounds for the generalized connectivity $\kappa_3(G)$*, Discrete Math. 310(2010), 2147–2163.

[24] S. Li, X. Li, *Note on the hardness of generalized connectivity*, J. Combin. Optimization 24(2012), 389–396.

[25] X. Li, Y. Mao, Y. Sun, *On the generalized (edge-)connectivity of graphs*, arXiv:1112.0127 [math.CO] 2011.

[26] X. Li, Y. Mao, L. Wang, *Graphs with large generalized* 3*-edge-connectivity*, arXiv: 1201.3699 [math.CO] 2012.

[27] Y. Li, *The generalized 3-(edge) connectivity of line graphs*, submitted.

[28] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, *Edge-disjonint spanning trees of finite graphs*, J. London Math. Soc. 36(1961), 445–450.

[29] O.R. Oellermann, *Connectivity and edge-connectivity in graphs: A survey*, Congessus Numerantium 116 (1996), 231-252.

[30] F. Okamoto, P. Zhang, *The tree connectivity of regular complete bipartite graphs*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 74(2010), 279–293.

[31] D. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory (Second edition)*, Prentice Hall, 2001.

[32] T. Hamada and T. Nonaka et al., *On the connectivity of total graph*, Math. Ann. 196.30–38 (1972).

[33] D. West, H. Wu, *Packing Steiner trees and S-connectors in graphs*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser.B 102(2012), 186-205.